

Effects of Photoperiod Manipulation on Growth Performance and Hematological Responses of Juvenile Caspian Roach *Rutilus rutilus caspicus*

Erfan Shahkar^{1†}, Dae-jung Kim^{2†}, Mahmoud Mohseni^{3,4}, Hossein Khara⁵, Hyeonho Yun¹ and Sungchul C. Bai^{1*}

¹Department of Marine Bio-materials and Aquaculture/Feeds & Foods Nutrition Research Center, Pukyong National University, Busan 608-737, Korea

²New Strategy Research Center, National Fisheries Research Development Institute, Busan 408-15, Korea

³International Sturgeon Research Institute, Rasht, P.O. Box 41635-3464, Guilan, Iran.

⁴Coldwater Fishes Research Center (CFRC), P.O. Box 46815-467, 18th Km of Dohezar Road, Tonekabon, Mazandaran, Iran.

⁵Department of Fishery, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan 1616 Iran.

Abstract

A 8-week trial was conducted to evaluate the effects of photoperiod manipulation on the growth performance and hematological parameters of juvenile Caspian roach, *Rutilus rutilus caspicus* (average weight 1.46 ± 0.12 g mean \pm SD) reared under five photoperiods (24 h Light, 24L; 18 h Light & 6 h Dark, 18L:6D; 12 h Light & 12 h Dark, 12L:12D; 6 h Light & 18 h Dark, 6L:18D; 24 h Dark, 24D) with constant light intensity 1,500 lx on the water surface. Triplicate of 20 fish were allocated into each of 15 fiberglass tanks of 50 L capacity and they were fed three times per day with the commercial feed (SFK, Co., Sari - Iran) contains 50.0% protein and 10.5% lipid. At the end of experimental period, final body weight, weight gain and specific growth rates of fish exposed to 24L were significantly higher than those of fish exposed to 12L:12D, 6L:18D and 24D ($P < 0.05$). Red blood cell and hemoglobin of fish exposed to 24L were significantly higher than those of fish exposed to 24D. No significant difference observed in hematocrit, white blood cell and plasma glucose among the different treatments groups. Therefore, these results demonstrated that the growth performance of juvenile Caspian roach can be significantly stimulated by using 24L and 18L:6D photoperiods without any measurable significant stress response such as plasma glucose concentration.

Key words: Caspian roach, *Rutilus rutilus caspicus*, Photoperiod, Growth performance, Hematological responses

Introduction

The Caspian roach, *Rutilus rutilus caspicus*, belongs to Cyprinidae is one of the most economically important and valuable teleostei in the Caspian Sea. This kind of fish exist in the southern part of the Caspian Sea especially Iran's shores (Rameshguru et al., 2011). Knowledge of the optimal environmental conditions for fish growth during their early life stage is necessary to enhance the yields and reduce costs in culture production (Lambert and Dutil, 2001; Shan et al., 2008). The

manipulation of environmental factors such as temperature, salinity and photoperiod currently is used to modulate fish growth in culture (Jobling, 1994). Among them, photoperiod has been used successfully to improve the larval (Hart et al., 1996), juvenile and adult growth of some species (Simensen et al., 2000; Biswas and Takeuchi, 2003; Petit et al., 2003; Biswas et al., 2005, 2006). When photoperiod is increased, fish may adjust to the new photoperiod by displaying higher

 © 2015 The Korean Society of Fisheries and Aquatic Science

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received 22 July 2014; Revised 07 November 2014

Accepted 13 November 2014

*Corresponding Author : scbai@pknu.ac.kr

†Author contributions: Erfan Shahkar and Dae-jung Kim are co-first authors, and corresponding author is Sungchul C. Bai.

feeding activity, growth and feed utilization (Boehlert, 1981; Woiwode and Adelman, 1991). Photoperiod manipulation can regulate physiological functions of fish such as growth, survival, gonadal maturation, reproduction (Björnsson et al., 2000; Ginés et al., 2003; Bonnet et al., 2007) and metabolism (Biswas and Takeuchi, 2003; Biswas et al., 2005, 2006; Taylor et al., 2006). In general, long photoperiod improves the performance of fish, probably because of increased feed availability (Boeuf and Le Bail, 1999). For example, photoperiods longer than that of ambient conditions increased growth of larval rabbit fish, *Siganus guttatus* (Duray and Kohno, 1988), sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Barahona-Fernandes, 1979; Ronzani Cerqueira and Chatain, 1991), barramundi, *Lates calcarifer* (Barlow et al., 1995), and greenback flounder, *Rhombosolea tapirina* (Hart et al., 1996).

In order to improve production efficiency in hatcheries, it is important to optimize the conditions in which the fish are reared. These conditions can include the physical culture environment (temperature, salinity, light intensity and photoperiod) and general nutritional parameters such as diet composition, ration and feeding frequency (Mohseni et al., 2006). The strategy of their rearing condition will help us to gain best results in their culture and can affect their growth and survival. However, there is no information on the effect of photoperiod manipulation on the growth performance of juvenile Caspian roach. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of photoperiod manipulation on growth performance and hematological parameters of juvenile Caspian roach, *Rutilus rutilus caspicus*, reared in the culture tanks.

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

Juvenile Caspian roach, *Rutilus rutilus caspicus* were obtained from the Fish Nursery Center of Sijaval, Iran. At the beginning of the feeding trial, a total of 300 fish, averaging initial weight 1.46 ± 0.12 g, were carefully selected from the stock tanks and directly distributed into 15 tanks with 50 L volume of brackish water (20 fish/tank) to acclimate to the new rearing environment for 2 weeks at 12 h light: 12 h dark (12L:12D) photoperiod. After acclimation, five artificial photoperiods with three replicate were established: i) 24 h Light, 24L; ii) 18 h Light & 6 h Dark, 18L:6D; iii) 12 h Light & 12 h Dark, 12L:12D; iv) 6 h Light & 18 h Dark, 6L:18D; and v) 24 h Dark, 24D. Each set of three tanks for each photoperiod were illuminated with one 40 (W) fluorescent lamp with light intensity at 1,500 lx on the water surface. The periods of light and dark was contorted with black polyvinyl sheet on the culture tanks to inhibit light penetration from outside. During 8 weeks of feeding trial, Average of water temperature, oxygen and pH were $26.5 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, 6.5 ± 1.1 ppm and 7.5-8, respectively. All tanks were continuously aerated using air-stones con-

nected to an air pump, and 20% of the water was renewed daily with receiving filtered brackish water from the center tank. Fish were fed at 5% of the total stocked biomass daily with a commercial diet (containing: crude protein 50.0%, crude lipid 10.5%; SFK, Co., Sari - Iran). The total fish weight in each tank was determined every 2-week and daily feed rations were split into three equal amounts given at 09:00, 13:00 and 17:00 hours to all culture tanks.

Sampling and parameters estimation

At the termination of the feeding trial, fish were starved for 24 h and the total number and weight of fish in each tank was determined for calculation of weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR), feed efficiency (FE), feed intake (FI) and survival. After obtaining the final total weight, five fish were randomly selected from each tank and blood samples were withdrawn from the caudal vein using a 26-gauge hypodermic needle on a 1-ml syringe and transferred to tubes that were kept on ice until centrifugation at 1,600 (g) for 10 min. Hematocrit (PCV) was determined using the microhematocrit method (Brown, 1980) and hemoglobin (Hb) was measured by the cyanmethemoglobin procedure using Drabkin's solution. Hb standard prepared from human blood (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA) was used. Plasma glucose was measured by the enzymatic glucose-HK procedure (Sigma). We also calculated number of white blood cells (WBCs), and number of red blood cells (RBCs) according to Ranzani-Paiva et al. (2004). The rest of the fish were freeze-dried as whole body and held at -80°C until used for proximate composition analysis. The samples of diet and whole-fish body from each treatment were analyzed according to the standard methods of AOAC (2000) for moisture, protein, lipid, and ash. Moisture content of samples was estimated by drying oven at 135°C for 2 h to constant weight. Crude protein was determined using the Kjeldahl method ($\text{N} \times 6.25$) after acid digestion. Crude lipid was determined by soxhlet extraction using the Soxtec system 1046 (Tacator AB, Hoganas, Sweden), and ash was determined by combusting dry samples in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 6 h.

Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance test using Statistix 3.1 (Analytical Software, St Paul, MN, USA). When a significant treatment effect was observed, a Least Significant Difference test was used to compare means. Treatment effects were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.

Results

Table 1 shows the growth performance parameters of juvenile Caspian roach exposed to different photoperiod regimes.

At the end of 8 weeks of experiment, FW, WG and SGR of fish exposed to 24L photoperiod were significantly higher than those of fish exposed under 12L:12D, 6L:18D and 24D photoperiods ($P < 0.05$). However, there were no significant differences in FW, WG and SGR among fish reared under 24L and 18L:6D photoperiods, or among those under 24D, 18L:6D, 12L:12D and 6L:18D photoperiods ($P > 0.05$). There were no significant differences in FE, FI and survival of fish among all the experimental treatments ($P > 0.05$).

Whole-body proximate composition of juvenile Caspian roach exposed to different photoperiod regimes are shown in Table 2. There were no significant differences in whole-body protein, lipid, moisture and ash contents of fish among all treatments ($P > 0.05$).

The hematological parameters of juvenile Caspian roach exposed to different photoperiod regimes are shown in Table 3. RBC of fish reared under 24L and 18L:6D photoperiods was significantly higher than those of fish exposed under

Table 1. Effect of different photoperiods on growth performance of juvenile Caspian roach *Rutilus rutilus caspicus* for 8 weeks¹

L:D cycle ²	IW ³	FW ⁴	WG ⁵	SGR ⁶	FE ⁷	FI ⁸	Survival (%)
24L	1.45	4.97 ^a	240 ^a	2.24 ^a	2.46	7.10	96.0
18L:6D	1.48	4.73 ^{ab}	224 ^{ab}	2.12 ^{ab}	2.48	6.57	96.3
12L:12D	1.46	4.59 ^b	214 ^b	2.03 ^b	2.43	6.47	93.0
6L:18D	1.45	4.48 ^b	206 ^b	1.97 ^b	2.40	6.28	95.0
24D	1.44	4.51 ^b	208 ^b	1.98 ^b	2.48	6.13	94.0
Pooled SEM ⁹	0.11	0.06	4.02	0.03	0.04	0.13	0.88

¹Values in same column with different superscript are significantly different at $P < 0.05$.

²24 h Light, 24 L; 18 h Light & 6 h Dark, 18L:6D; 12 h Light & 12 h Dark, 12L:12D; 6 h Light & 18 h Dark, 6L:18D; 24 h Dark, 24D.

³Average initial weight.

⁴Average final weight.

⁵Weight gain (%) = (final weight – initial weight) × 100 / initial weight.

⁶Specific growth rate (%) = 100 × (ln final weight – ln initial weight) / rearing period (days).

⁷Feed efficiency (%) = 100 × (weight gain / fed intake).

⁸Feed intake (g/fish) = dry feed consumed (g) / fish number.

⁹Pooled standard error of mean: SD/√n.

Table 2. Effect of different photoperiods on whole body proximate composition (% of DM basis) of juvenile Caspian roach *Rutilus rutilus caspicus* for 8 weeks¹

L:D cycle ²	Crude protein (%)	Crude lipid (%)	Crude ash (%)	Moisture (%)
24L	15.83	9.84	5.28	66.68
18L:6D	15.95	9.91	5.37	66.43
12L:12D	15.77	9.95	5.40	66.39
6L:18D	15.93	9.86	5.34	66.63
24D	15.96	9.81	5.22	66.54
Pooled SEM ³	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.05

¹Values in same column with different superscript are significantly different at $P < 0.05$.

²24 h Light, 24 L; 18 h Light & 6 h Dark, 18L:6D; 12 h Light & 12 h Dark, 12L:12D; 6 h Light & 18 h Dark, 6L:18D; 24 h Dark, 24D.

³Pooled standard error of mean: SD/√n.

Table 3. Effect of different photoperiods on hematological parameters of juvenile Caspian roach *Rutilus rutilus caspicus* for 8 weeks¹

L:D cycle ²	RBC ³	WBC ⁴	PCV ⁵	Hb ⁶	Glu ⁷
24L	1.84 ^a	29467	43	7.9 ^a	82.00
18L:6D	1.71 ^a	31067	42.66	6.5 ^{ab}	85.00
12L:12D	1.59 ^{ab}	24333	41.83	6.83 ^{ab}	78.33
6L:18D	1.79 ^{ab}	28800	40.5	6.86 ^{ab}	80.00
24D	1.48 ^b	25067	42.63	5.63 ^b	76.00
Pooled SEM ⁸	0.05	1411.58	1.12	0.33	1.64

¹Values in same column with different superscript are significantly different at $P < 0.05$.

²24 h Light, 24 L; 18 h Light & 6 h Dark, 18L:6D; 12 h Light & 12 h Dark, 12L:12D; 6 h Light & 18 h Dark, 6L:18D; 24 h Dark, 24D.

³RBC (×10⁶): Red blood cell.

⁴WBC (/mm³): White blood cell.

⁵PCV (%): Hematocrit.

⁶Hb (g/dl): Hemoglobin.

⁷Glu (mg/dl): Glucose.

⁸Pooled standard error of mean: SD/√n.

24D photoperiod ($P < 0.05$). However, there was no significant differences in RBC among fish exposed to 24L, 18L:6D, 12L:12D and 6L:18D photoperiods, or among those reared under 24D, 12L:12D and 6L:18D photoperiods ($P > 0.05$). Hb of fish exposed to 24L was significantly higher than those of fish exposed under 24D photoperiod ($P < 0.05$). However, there was no significant differences in Hb among fish under 24L, 18L:6D, 12L:12D and 6L:18D photoperiods, or among those under 24D, 18L:6D, 12L:12D and 6L:18D photoperiods ($P > 0.05$). The PCV, WBC and plasma glucose of fish showed no significant differences among the different treatments ($P > 0.05$).

Discussion

During the 8 weeks of rearing, survival ranged between 93% and 96% and averaged 94.9%. The results of the present study indicated that juvenile Caspian roach were exposed to 24L and 18L:6D photoperiods had significantly better growth, WG and SGR than those exposed to 12L:12D, 6L:18D and 24D photoperiods. Similar results have been reported that growth performance could be enhanced by continuous light or extending the light photoperiod in Atlantic salmon juvenile, *Salmo salar* (Saunders and Harmon, 1990), red seabream juveniles, *Pagrus major* (Biswas et al., 2005, 2006). Also, the growth of sole, *Solea solea* (Fuchs, 1978), European seabass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Barahona-Fernandes, 1979), barramundi, *Lates calcarifer* (Barlow et al., 1995), olive flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus* (Dou et al., 2003), black porgy, *Mylio macrocephalus* (Kiyono and Hirano, 1981), gilthead seabream, *Sparus aurata* (Tandler and Helps, 1985), rabbit fish, *Siganus guttatus* (Duray and Kohno, 1988) and snapper, *Pagrus auratus* (Fielder et al., 2002) larvae were better at 18 and 24 h light periods than at 12:12 h or shorter light periods.

Photoperiod is commonly believed to be one of the directive factors that could control fish growth during their early life stage through its influence on endogenous feeding rhythms and efficiency or food availability (Björnsson, 1997; Boeuf and Le Bail, 1999; Taylor et al., 2006). The finding that higher growth accompanied with both higher feed intake and feed efficiency under long and continuous photoperiods parallels the findings in other species, such as haddock, *Melanogrammus aeglefinus* (Trippel and Neil, 2003) and gilthead seabream, *Sparus aurata* (Kissil et al., 2001; Ginés et al., 2004).

It is well known that hematological parameters regarded as reliable indicators for assessment of health status in fish, but they can vary with season, temperature and nutritional status (Bond, 1979). In this study, the results demonstrated that photoperiod manipulation cause significant differences in the levels of hematological parameters such as RBC and Hb; but, did not cause significant in the PCV and WBC. The PCV has been shown to increase under stressful conditions (Pierson et al., 2004) and this could be attributed to the swelling of red blood

cells (Biswas et al., 2006). The PCV has also been shown to decrease under chronic stress (Barcellos et al., 2004).

In addition, there was no significant difference in plasma glucose among the treatments in this study. Plasma glucose concentrations have long been used as indicators of stress in fish (Hattingh, 1976; Donaldson, 1981; Wedemeyer and McLeay, 1981). Yet, in many studies (Adams et al., 1985; Brown et al., 1986; Goss and Wood, 1988; Pottinger et al., 2002), under stress plasma glucose either remained unchanged or took a longer duration of stress to show the change. The results demonstrated that photoperiod manipulation did not cause a significant acute stress response in juvenile caspian roach as the levels of different stress indicators in fish exposed to different photoperiods. It has been demonstrated that chronic stress generally results in a higher elevation of cortisol and glucose concentrations in fish (Leonardi and Klempau, 2003). In this study, plasma cortisol was not determined; however, plasma glucose concentration in the blood indicates that photoperiod manipulation did not appear to cause significant chronic stress response in the fish. Similarly, the findings for juvenile red sea bream, *Pagrus major*, also demonstrated that photoperiod manipulation did not cause significant plasma glucose concentration in fish exposed to different photoperiods (Biswas et al., 2006).

In conclusion, the results suggested that the growth performance of juvenile caspian roach can be stimulated remarkably by the manipulated photoperiods used in 24L and 18L:6D photoperiods. The higher growth performance under manipulated photoperiods may be attributed to the feeding time as well as to improved appetite, greater feed intake and higher feed conversion efficiency. In addition, the photoperiod manipulation used in this study did not cause a significant stress response, and can therefore be used to stimulate the growth performance of juvenile Caspian roach, *Rutilus rutilus caspicus*.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank staffs of Sijaval reproduce and cultivate center for their kind help during the experiment. The test specimens were provided by the Sijaval reproduces and cultivate center located in the southern region of the Caspian Sea (Golestan Province). This work was supported partially by NFRDI (RP-2015-AQ-009) and FFNRC

References

- Adams MA, Burtis CA and Beauchamp JL. 1985. Integrated and individual biochemical responses of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) to varying durations of acidification stress. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 82C, 301-310.
- AOAC. 2000. Official methods of analysis of AOAC. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 17th edition. AOAC, Arlington, Vir-

- ginia, USA.
- Barcellos LJG, Nicolaiewsky S, de Souza SMG and Lulhier F. 2004. Plasmatic levels of cortisol in the response to acute stress in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.), previously exposed to chronic stress. *Aquacult Res* 30, 437-444.
- Barahona-Fernandes MH. 1979. Some effects of light intensity and photoperiod on the sea bass larvae (*Dicentrarchus labrax* (L.)) reared at the Centre Oceanologique de Bretagne. *Aquaculture* 17, 311-321.
- Barlow CG, Pearce MG, Rodgers LJ and Clayton P. 1995. Effects of photoperiod on growth, survival and feeding periodicity of larval and juvenile barramundi *Lates calcarifer* (Bloch). *Aquaculture* 138, 159-168.
- Biswas AK, Seoka M, Inoue Y, Takii K and Kumai H. 2005. Photoperiod influences the growth, food intake, feed efficiency and digestibility of red sea bream (*Pagrus major*). *Aquaculture* 250, 666-673.
- Biswas AK, Seoka M, Tanaka Y, Takii K and Kumai H. 2006. Effect of photoperiod manipulation on the growth performance and stress response of juvenile red sea bream (*Pagrus major*). *Aquaculture* 258, 350-356.
- Biswas AK and Takeuchi T. 2003. Effects of photoperiod and feeding interval on food intake and growth rate of Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* L. *Fish Sci* 69, 1010-1016.
- Björnsson BT, Hemre GI, Bjørnevik M and Hansen T. 2000. Photoperiod regulation of plasma growth hormone levels during induced smoltification of under yearling Atlantic salmon. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 119, 17-25.
- Björnsson BT. 1997. The biology of salmon growth hormone: from day-light to dominance. *Fish Physiol Biochem* 17, 9-24.
- Boehlert GW. 1981. The effects of photoperiod and temperature on laboratory growth of juvenile *Sebastes diplopora* and a comparison with growth in the field. *Fish Bull* 79, 789-794.
- Boeuf G and Le Bail PY. 1999. Does light have an influence on fish growth? *Aquaculture* 177, 129-152.
- Bond CE. 1979. Circulation, respiration, and the gas bladder. In: Bond, C.E. (Ed.), *Biology of Fishes*. W. B Saunders Company Press, London, UK, pp. 347-374.
- Bonnet E, Montfort J, Esquerre D, Hugot K, Fostier A and Bobe J. 2007. Effect of photoperiod manipulation on rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) egg quality: a genomic study. *Aquaculture* 268, 13-22.
- Brown SB, Evans RE and Hara TJ. 1986. Interrenal, thyroidal, carbohydrate and electrolyte responses in rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*) during recovery from the effects of acidification. *Canadian J Fish Aquat Sci* 43, 714-718.
- Brown BA. 1980. Routine hematology procedure. *Hematology: principles and procedures*. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, pp.71-112.
- Donaldson EM. 1981. The pituitary-interrenal axis as an indicator of stress in fish. In: Pickering, A.D. (Ed.), *Stress and fish* Academic Press.
- Dou SZ, Masuda R, Tanaka M and Tsukamoto K. 2003. Identification of factors affecting the growth and survival of the settling Japanese flounder larvae, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Aquaculture* 218, 309-327.
- Duray M and Kohno H. 1988. Effects of continuous lighting on growth and survival of first-feeding larval rabbitfish, *Siganus guttatus*. *Aquaculture* 72, 73-79.
- Fielder DS, Bardsley WJ, Allan GL and Pankhurst PM. 2002. Effect of photoperiod on growth and survival of snapper, *Pagrus auratus* larvae. *Aquaculture* 211, 135-150.
- Fuchs J. 1978. Effect of photoperiod on growth and survival during rearing of larvae and juveniles of sole (*Solea solea*). *Aquaculture* 15, 63-74.
- Ginés R, Afonso JM, Argüello A, Zamorano MJ and López JL. 2004. The effects of long-day photoperiod on growth, body composition and skin colour in immature gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.). *Aquacult Res* 35, 1207-1212.
- Ginés R, Afonso JM, Argüello A, Zamorano MJ and López JL. 2003. Growth in adult gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) as a result of interference in sexual maturation by different photoperiod regimes. *Aquacult Res* 34, 73-83.
- Goss GG and Wood CM. 1988. The effects of acid and acid / aluminium exposure on circulating plasma cortisol levels and other blood parameters in the rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri*. *J Fish Biol* 32, 63-76.
- Hart PR, Hutchinson WG and Purser GJ. 1996. Effects of photoperiod, temperature and salinity on hatchery reared larvae of the greenback flounder (*Rhombosolea tapirina* Gunther, 1862). *Aquaculture* 144, 303-311.
- Hattingh J. 1976. Blood sugar as an indicator of stress in the freshwater fish, *Labeo capensis*. *J Fish Biol* 10, 191-195.
- Jobling M. 1994. *Fish Bioenergetics*. Chapman & Hall, London, pp. 309.
- Kissil GW, Lupatsch I, Elizur A and Zohar Y. 2001. Long photoperiod delayed spawning and increased somatic growth in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture* 200, 363-379.
- Kiyono M and Hirano R. 1981. Effects of light on the feeding and growth of black porgy, *Mylio macrocephalus* (Basilewsky) post-larvae and juveniles. *Int Explor Sci Mer Mediterr* 178, 334-336.
- Lambert Y and Dutil J. 2001. Food intake and growth of adult Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) reared under different conditions of stocking density, feeding frequency and size-grading. *Aquaculture* 192, 233-247.
- Leonardi M and Klempau A. 2003. Artificial photoperiod influence on the immune system of juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in the Southern Hemisphere. *Aquaculture* 221, 581-591.
- Petit G, Beauchaud M, Attia J and Buisson B. 2003. Food intake and growth of largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) held under alternated light/dark cycle (12L: 12D) or exposed to continuous light. *Aquaculture* 228, 397-401.
- Pierson PM, Lamers A, Flik G and Mayer-Gostan N. 2004. The stress axis, stanniocalcin, and ion balance in rainbow trout. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 137, 263-271.
- Pottinger TG, Carrick TR and Yoemans WE. 2002. The three-spined stickleback as an environmental sentinel: effects of stressors on whole-body physiological indices. *J Biol Chem*, 61, 207-229.
- Rameshguru G, Senthilkumar P and Govindarajan B. 2011. Vermiwash mixed diet effect on growth of *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Tila-

- pia). *J Res Biol* 5, 335-340.
- Ranzani-Paiva MJT, Ishikawa CM, das Eiras AC and da Silveira VR. 2004. Effects of an experimental challenge with *Mycobacterium marinum* on the blood parameters of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1757). *Brazilian Arch Biol Technol* 6, 945-953.
- Ronzani Cerqueira V and Chatain B. 1991. Photoperiodic effects on the growth and feeding rhythm of European seabass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, larvae in intensive rearing. *European Aquacult Soc* 15, 304-306.
- Mohseni M, Pourkazemi M, Bahmani M, Falahatkar B, Pourali H and Salehpour M. 2006. Effects of feeding rate and frequency on growth performance of yearling great sturgeon (*Huso huso*). *J Appl Ichthyol* 22, 278-282.
- Saunders RL and Harmon PR. 1990. Influence of photoperiod on growth in juvenile Atlantic salmon and development of salinity tolerance during winter–spring. *Transact American Fish Soc* 119, 689-697.
- Shan XJ, Quan HF and Dou SZ. 2008. Effects of delayed first feeding on growth and survival of rock bream *Oplegnathus fasciatus* larvae. *Aquaculture* 277, 14-23.
- Simensen LM, Jonassen TM, Imsland AK and Stefansson SO. 2000. Photoperiod regulation of growth of juvenile Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.). *Aquaculture* 190, 119-128.
- Tandler A and Helps S. 1985. The effects of photoperiod and water exchange rate on growth and survival of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*, Linnaeus: Sparidae) from hatching to metamorphosis in mass rearing systems. *Aquaculture* 48, 71-82.
- Taylor JF, North BP, Porter MJR, Bromage NR and Migaud H. 2006. Photoperiod can be used to enhance growth and improve feeding efficiency in farmed rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture* 256, 216-234.
- Trippel EA and Neil SRE. 2003. Effects of photoperiod and light intensity on growth and activity of juvenile haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*). *Aquaculture* 217, 633-645.
- Wedemeyer GA and McLeay DJ. 1981. Methods for determining the tolerance of fishes to A.D. (Ed.). *Stress and Fish*. Academic Press, New York, USA pp. 247-275.
- Woiwode JG and Adelman IR. 1991. Effects of temperature, photoperiod, and ration size on growth of hybrid striped bass X white bass. *Transact American Fish Soc* 120, 217-229.